

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/767,778	YASUDA ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
HARES JAMI	2162	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Hares Jami.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Chih Teng (Reg. 63,168).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 May 2009

**Time:** 3:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

8, 16-19, and 22-23.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*To expedite the prosecution of the case and put the application in condition for allowance via examiner's amendment, the Examiner proposed to Attorney Chih Teng that the letter "A" at the start of dependent claims 8, 16-19, and 22-23 must be changed to "The" in order to avoid the lack of antecedent basis issue. The Attorney agreed.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jean M Corrielus/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

